

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/619,051	07/14/2003	Albert Chenouda Salib	202-0923 (FGT-1692PA)	6082	
28549	7590 07/12/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
KEVIN G. N		BEAULIEU, YONEL			
ARTZ & AR' 28333 TELEG	GRAPH ROAD, SUITE 250		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	D, MI 48034		3661		
			DATE MAILED: 07/12/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	0
	10/619,	051	SALIB ET AL.	R
Office Action Summary	Examine	er	Art Unit	
	Yonel B		3661	
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ion appears on ti	he cover sheet with the	correspondence add	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATORY Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicator If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) dayone If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no eation.  ys, a reply within the stry period will apply and by statute, cause the ar	event, however, may a reply be a atutory minimum of thirty (30) di will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from polication to become ABANDON	timely filed  ays will be considered timely, m the mailing date of this con	mmunication.
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>14 July 2003</u> .			
	This action is	non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a			rosecution as to the	merits is
closed in accordance with the practice u				
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the appli	cation			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w		onsideration		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	maratti irom ot	onsideration.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election	requirement.		
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	raminer			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		) objected to by the	Evaminer	
Applicant may not request that any objection				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the				2 1 121(4)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner, N	ote the attached Office	Action or form PTC	)-152
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				102.
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a a) All b) Some * c) None of:	oreign priority un	ider 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(d) or (f).	
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docu	imanta hava ha	an magairead		
2.☐ Certified copies of the priority docu			ia a Ni	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the				4
application from the International E			ed in this National S	tage
* See the attached detailed Office action for		` ''	ad	
			Ju.	
<b>A</b> 44 .				
Attachment(s)				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94)</li> </ol>	48)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	(PTO-413) ate.	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/1/04.	SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		52)
5. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	fice Action Summa		Part of Paper No./Mail D	

Application/Control Number: 10/619,051

Art Unit: 3661

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 14 and 15, "...performing increasing..." (lines 2, respectively) appears not complete. It is not readily understood as to what increase is being performed.

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 – 13 and 16 - 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chubb et al. (US 6,593,849 B2).

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome

Application/Control Number: 10/619,051

Art Unit: 3661

either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Regarding claims 1, 2, 5, 6 - 13, and 16, Chubb et al. teaches operating a control system for an automotive vehicle (10; fig. 1) comprising determining a relative roll angle (col. 4: 60 - col. 5: 2 at least); initiating a wheel departure angle determination when the angle reaches a threshold and controlling a safety system (using item 18) in response to the wheel departure angle (see fig. 3; note col. 5: 52 - 62 at least); Chubb further teaches determining the vehicle is in a transition (when the vehicle wheel is detected as lifted; note abstract at least); determining a roll signal (by way of item 34 in fig. 1); determining a double wheel lift (as illustrated in fig. 1, two of the wheels are elevated; note col. 2: 44 - 46 at least); applying a brake pressure to prevent rollover when the vehicle is bouncing (col. 1: 22 - 26; col. 2: 59 - 63; and col. 3: 40 - 46 at least).

Regarding claims 3, 4, 17, and 18, Chubb et al. further teaches the vehicle transition being a right to left or a left to right transition (Chubb teaches lateral acceleration using item 32 and sway bar characteristics in figs. 2A – 2F at least).

Application/Control Number: 10/619,051

Art Unit: 3661

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chubb et al. ('849 B2) as applied to claim 13 above.

Applicants have not made clear as to what is specifically being claimed. The limitations of claims 14 and 15 are met when Chubb et al. is considered as a whole and would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention because Chubb does teach all of the features that are necessary to carry out the increase performance. Overall, Chubb performs equally well.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yonel Beaulieu whose telephone number is (703) 305-4072. The examiner can normally be reached on M-R, from 0900-1600.

Art Unit: 3661

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas BLACK can be reached on (703) 305-8233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Y. BEAULIEU AU 3661 AU 3661 AU 3661 AU 3661 AU 3661